

Re: This Month's Thought on Fermat's Last Theorem: 1

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-10/1363.html>

From: Keckman (keckman_at_welho.com)

Date: 10/05/04

Date: Tue, 05 Oct 2004 07:21:22 +0300

On 4 Oct 2004 07:42:01 -0700, Randy Poe <poespam-trap@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
> *Anyway, this statement:*
>> *If that's queue's length is supposed to be oo then there is item oo.*
>
> *is simply wrong. You keep saying it, but it's not true.*
> *That's what you're trying to PROVE, and you "prove" it*
> *by saying it over and over.*
>

Lets put those queues to queue. Where we count as well as biggest item
and amount of natural numbers.

1 = 1
1+1 = 2
1+1+1 = 3

.
. .
. . .

Math today says that we get(if amount is supposed to be something that is
not finite)

1+1+1+1+...

Which if is infinite.

But math today says that there is oo amount of 1 in that queue although
the sum is finite.

So visible contradiction that any can be.

You can not prove to blind monkey nothing. Does it mean that nothing is
true?

--

Petri Keckman