

Re: Deep Thoughts # 17: Liar Paradox is a Formal Metamathematical Theorem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-10/2810.html>

From: Brian Quincy Hutchings (QncyMI_at_netscape.net)

Date: 10/09/04

Date: 9 Oct 2004 15:54:38 -0700

ny total tutorial in predicate logic was reading
The Laws of Form through to the middle
of the chapter with second-order equations;
it purports to be the unmentioned "arithmetic"
of boolean/predicate logic. I agree.

of course, I've come across examples, before & since.

my point was taht the "time dimension" is simply ignored,
although it is naturally required, even to enunciate the "paradox;"
the same applies to Lord Berty's Village Barber conundrum;
it's just a conundrum!

Aristotle is great for the syllogisms,
if nothing else. too bad large factions of the Church promoted him
for centuries (and still do).

Barb Knox <see@sig.below> wrote in message news:<[ck7cam\\$b0n\\$1@lust.ihug.co.nz](mailto:ck7cam$b0n$1@lust.ihug.co.nz)>...

> *It sure doesn't look that way: there is no explicit reference to time in*
> *"This statement is false". By what deeper analysis to do you impute some*
> *time reference?*

--ils duces d'Enron!

<http://larouchepub.com>