

Re: Long threads, tactics

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-10/4390.html>

From: David C. Ullrich (ullrich_at_math.okstate.edu)

Date: 10/15/04

Date: Fri, 15 Oct 2004 04:52:15 -0500

On 14 Oct 2004 19:16:54 -0700, jstevh@msn.com (James Harris) wrote:

>If you look over the thread where I've been working on another paper
>you'll see a good reason for creating new threads.

>

>That one is now coming up on a 100 posts, with a lot of them coming
>from people who spend a lot of their time on Usenet trying to obscure
>what I'm saying.

>

>They do this deliberately, and it's an effective tactic.

>

>I've checked in various ways to watch interest in my work as it works
>its way around the world, as I move Google search results. As I post
>here Google and also Yahoo search results shift, so I watch them, and
>I can sort of map how effective some of these posters are.

>

>Real mathematicians would want to get to the bottom and find out
>what's true,

News flash: They've done that.

>but these people are practiced at trying to control what
>you think on the subject.

>

>If you didn't know it's worth mentioning that Erik Max Francis, a
>person who put up an insulting but popular webpage--according to
>Google which ranks it now about 9 for the name "James Harris"--used to
>post a lot on sci.math, and by now you should know about the
>sci.math'ers who got together to send emails and censor my paper out
>of an electronic math journal.

>

>These people are serious, and they have been practicing for years, as
>have I.

>

>As of now, I do more than move Google search results, as what I say
>gets read by quite a few people worldwide and it travels.

>

>Kids read it, adults read it. People who think read what I say.

sci.math: Re: Long threads, tactics

>*They're learning more about how even the math world is corrupted in*