

## Re: Fermat's Last Theorem

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-11/0796.html>

---

**From:** shedar (*nobody\_at\_nonesuch.com*)

**Date:** 11/03/04

Date: Wed, 03 Nov 2004 22:15:13 GMT

See: news:2ughm2F28gr7nU1@uni-berlin.de.

"ben ito" <benito20044@yahoo-dot-com.no-spam.invalid> wrote in message news:41892382\_1@Usenet.com...

> *Fermat's Last Theorem*

> *Ben Ito*

> *11-03-04*

>

> *I will solve Fermat's last theorem.*

>

> *1. Introduction*

>

> *I will show that Fermat's (n=4) and Wiles' proofs are invalid then*

> *prove that Fermat's equation*

>

>  *$x^n + y^n = z^n$  (equ 1)*

>

> *only forms integer solutions when  $n > 2$  using a transformation.*

>

>

> *2. Fermat's Proof (n=4)*

>

> *The following equations are used to describe the integer solutions of*

> *Fermat's equation (n=2),*

>

>  *$A = 2uv$ ,  $B = u^2 - v^2$ , and  $C = u^2 + v^2$  (equ 2)*

>

> *(Shanks, p.141). Fermat uses the following equations to prove that*

>  *$n=4$  does not form integer solutions,*

>

>  *$A^2 = 2uv$ ,  $B^2 = u^2 - v^2$ , and  $C = u^2 + v^2$  (equ 3),*

>

>

> *Fermat is violating logic by implying that equations that describe the*

> *integer solutions of  $n=2$  (equ 2) can be used to prove that  $n=4$  does*

> *not form integer solutions; however,  $n=2$  and  $n=4$  form completely*

> *different equations; therefore, the integer solution equations, of*

>  *$n=2$ , cannot be used to prove  $n=4$ . Fermat's proof for  $n=4$  is invalid.*

>  
> 3. Wiles Proof  
>  
> Wiles proof of Fermat's Last Theorem is based on the elliptic curve  
> equation (Poorten, p. 196–7),  
>  
>  $y^2 = x(x - a^n)(x + b^n)$  (equ 4)  
>  
> where  
>  
>  $a^n + b^n = c^n$  (equ 5).  
>  
> Frey does not derive equation 5 from equation 4; Frey and Wiles are  
> implying the existence of equation 5.  
>  
> "Ribet and Wiles studied this curve under the assumption that there  
> exist a nonzero integer  $c$  such that  $a^n + b^n = c^n$ ." (Ribenoim, p.  
> 247).  
>  
> It's questionable that Wiles can assume the existence of equation 5  
> without deriving it then basing his entire proof on an implied  
> equation. Fermat's equation is not dependent on an elliptic curve.  
> Using  $n=2$ , in equation 4, the following equation is formed,  
>  
>  $y^2 = x(x - a^2)(x + b^2)$  (equ 6)  
>  
> equation 6 is not Pythagoreans equations; therefore, equation 6 is  
> unrelated to Fermat's equation. Consequently, Wiles' proof of  
> Fermat's Last Theorem is invalid.  
>  
> 4. Ito's Proof.  
>  
> I will prove Fermat's Last Theorem. I will use  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  to represent  
> the sides of a triangle. When  $n=2$ , using a transformation by letting  
>  $z = c$  (integer), Fermat's equation becomes the equation of a circle,  
>  
>  
>  $x^2 + y^2 = c^2$ . (equ 7)  
>  
> In the transformation, equation 7 and Fermat's equation ( $n=2$ ) can be  
> represented simultaneously on the  $xy$  plane. Consequently, the  
> equation of a circle of radius  $r$  (equ 7) and the right triangles with  
> a hypotenuse  $z$  ( $n=2$ ) can be represented on the  $x-y$  plane. Only  $n=2$   
> forms the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  lengths on the  $x-y$  plane which allows for the  
> possible formation of integer solution. When  $n>2$ , the  
> transformed equations ( $z=c$ ) can not be represented on the  $x-y$  plane  
> with Fermat's equation; therefore, only  $n=2$  of Fermat's equation  
> forms the integer solutions.  
>  
>  
> 5. Conclusion

>  
> *Fermat is using the integer solutions of  $n=2$  to prove that  $n=4$  does  
> not form integer solutions; however, the equations of  $n=2$  and  $n=4$  are  
> complete different equations; therefore, the solutions of  $n=2$  cannot  
> be used in Fermat's  $n=4$  proof; consequently, Fermat's prove is  
> invalid.*  
>  
> *Wiles' proof of Fermat's Last theorem is based on the implied  
> assumption that*  
>  
>  $a^n + b^n = c^n$  (equ 8)  
>  
> *and the elliptic curve equation*  
>  
>  $y^2 = x(x - a^2)(x + b^2)$  (equ 9)  
>  
> *are related. However, equations 8 and 9 are completely different  
> equations; therefore, Wiles' proof using elliptic curves to prove  
> Fermat's Last Theorem is invalid since equation 8 cannot be derived  
> from equation 9; using  $n=2$  in equation 9, Pythagoreans' equation is  
> not formed. Consequently, Wiles' proof of Fermats' theorem is  
> invalid.*  
>  
> *I will solve Fermat's Last Theorem by showing that when  $z = c$ , where  $c$   
> is an integer, a circle equation and right triangle equation can be  
> represented on the  $x-y$  plane simultaneously. Only  $n=2$  forms the  
> circle equation and Fermat equation ( $n=2$ ) on the  $x-y$  plane. When  
>  $n>2$  the equations formed never can be represented simultaneously  
> on the  $x-y$  plane. The representation of the transformed structure  
> ( $z=c$ ) and Fermat's equation allow for the possibility of integer  
> solution to form. Therefore, only  $n=2$  forms integer solutions of  
> Fermat's equation.*  
>  
> *6. References*  
>  
> *Daniel Shanks. Solved and Unsolved Problems in Number Theory. Chelsea  
> Pub. 1985.*  
>  
> *Paulo Ribenboim. Fermat's Last Theorem for Amateurs. Springer.  
> 1999.*  
>  
> *A. J. Van Der Poorten. Notes on Fermat's Last Theorem. John Wiley.  
> 1996*  
>  
> \*-----\*  
> *Posted at:*  
> *www.GroupSrv.com*  
> \*-----\*