

Re: DES and 3DES

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-11/0897.html>

From: Max Mustermann (*anonymous_at_remail.amessage.info*)

Date: 11/04/04

Date: Thu, 04 Nov 2004 12:03:41 +0100

On Wed, 03 Nov 2004, "Jack D. Ripper" <JDRipper@spitalfields.net> wrote:

> *On Wed, 03 Nov 2004 19:09:21 +0000, postminimalist wrote:*

>

>> *mjc wrote:*

>>

>>>

>>> *I prefer quadruple rot-13.*

>>

>> *not that 3DES and AES are much better. silly newbs think consumer-grade*

>> *ciphers are secure.*

>

> *Really? Are we to understand that you are in possession of some efficient*

> *technique to break them, or know of somebody who does? Or are you just an*

> *armchair expert?*

I think you misunderstood. Security is relative, and we ALL know that 3DES and AES are nowhere near as secure as the MegaQuantum MultiVariable PolyPsychic methods developed on the planet Garfelflax, and given to the US National SuperduperSecret Agency only under strict oath of silence.

oops.