

Hence, boxer A fist misses the A' head but he sees the A' fist plow into his belly.

And hence, boxer A' fist misses the A head but he sees the A first plow into his belly.

QED

If you are half my height, our feet are adjacent, and our heads extend in the same direction, your head is just above my crotch, no matter what time it is. And SR says that is the situation in the given setup at my time $t=1$.

If I am half your height, our feet are adjacent, and our heads extend in the same direction, my head is just at the lower parta of your belly no matter what time it is. And SR says that is the situation in the given setup at your time $t=1$.

Wait a minute!

That is direct application of SR.

But don't you know that SR is so fucked up that the direct application of its basic equations is tacitly outlawed by the SR-cult?

You are not allowed to use those basic equations directly.

To do so shows them to be complete nonsense, invalid by reduction to the absurd, as above.

So, let's play the True Believer SR-cult cretin game and pretend SR isn't crap, and that the non-simulataneity isn't the most vomitous version of the crap.

No, let's don't. Why practice their idiocy?

The given resolution being absolutely irrefutable given SR-contraction, any further SR-idiocy that says otherwise is proof by reduction to the absurd that SR is crap.

What's the problem, over and above the basic problem that SR is crap?

Screwed up viewpoint.

We know that the contraction result of $x'=gx-gvt$ is not the moving system viewpoint, it is supposedly what A will see, not what A' will see for itself. A' does not see himself contracted according to SR-dogma. Too bad, because if he saw himself as contracted the .866 distance would be 1.732 for him and at $v=.866$ the feet would become adjacent at $t'=2$, the same figure the SR-idiot A would calculate for t' .

We also know that A' doesn't see A as contracted: let a rail run from adjacent to the A' feet to adjacent to the A feet, to mark the distance with

something physical. The rail would be only .433 long and at $v=.866$ it would be at time $t'=.5$ that the feet were adjacent, not the $t'=2$ the SR-idiot A calculated using SR, not the $t'=1$ an actual A' would see it taking.

We know that the dilation result of (the corrupt) $t'=gt-gxt/cc$ is not the moving system viewpoint, it is supposedly what A will see, not what A' will see for itself. A' does not see himself slowed down. No 'too bad' here because there is no way the (mis)info can be used. With $t'=d'/v$, what in hell would it mean to say time has slowed? Nothing! No consequence.

That is, not just x and t but also x' and t' are stationary system viewpoints, one pair sane, and the other pair pure psychotic idiocy.

In our setup, the moving system also see that it takes $t=1$ elapsed time unit to become feet-adjacent. The moving system sees at that time that – given contraction – the A head is at the A' belly.

Period. The idiocy of the BEER (Basic Equations of Einstein's Relativity) has nothing to do with the moving system measures, it is nonsense, crap, and ... well, SR.

eleaticus