

Re: Cantor's diagonal proof wrong?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-12/2681.html>

From: Brian Chandler (*imaginorium_at_despammed.com*)

Date: 11/29/04

Date: 28 Nov 2004 23:39:53 -0800

fishfry <BLOCKSPAMfishfry@your-mailbox.com> wrote in message
news:<BLOCKSPAMfishfry-331258.23244127112004@netnews.comcast.net>...

> *In article <3c6b9c1e.0411272122.2449a629@posting.google.com>*,

> *raf@tiki-lounge.com (Ross A. Finlayson) wrote:*

>

> > *Hi Bob, if that is your real name,*

>

> *It's not. Bob is a palindrome of his real name.*

Objection. "Palindrome" is a unary property: X is or is not a
palindrome – it can't be a palindrome "of" something. I think you
meant to say "anagram".

Brian Chandler