

## Re: Symbolic solution of quadratic matrix equations

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-12/3355.html>

---

**From:** Gregg Kelly ([greggk\\_at\\_ozemail.com.au](mailto:greggk_at_ozemail.com.au))

**Date:** 11/30/04

Date: 30 Nov 2004 12:28:44 -0800

In answer to Dave's question below, I believe I can shed a little more light on this. Rewrite the matrix equation as

$$A.X^2 + B.X + C = 0 \quad (1)$$

Let  $k$  and  $v$  be an eigenvalue and eigenvector of  $X$  so  $X.v = k.v$   
Then multiplying (1) by  $v$  gives

$$(A.k^2 + B.k + C).v = 0 \quad (2)$$

so that

$$\det(A.k^2 + B.k + C) = 0 \quad (3)$$

This is then a polynomial equation of degree  $2.n$  for the  $n$  eigenvalues of  $X$ . Now we take  $n$  solutions  $k_i$  of (3) we can then solve (2) for  $v_i$ .

Then  $X$  is given by the eigen decomposition formula

$$X = V.K.V^{-1}$$

where

$K$  = diagonal matrix  $k_i$

$V$  = matrix of vectors  $v_i$

Which  $n$  solutions of (3) should be chosen I don't know. Maybe they all give valid solutions, maybe only some of them do ...

rusin@vesuvius.math.niu.edu (Dave Rusin) wrote in message news:<cog70j\$15u\$1@news.math.niu.edu>...  
> In article <codc2i\$2p5\$1@nntp.itservices.ubc.ca>,  
> Robert Israel <israel@math.ubc.ca> wrote:  
> >In article <b73a68dc.0411280909.64d5dbbe@posting.google.com>,  
> >Ceylan <Ceylan.Yozgatligil@gmail.com> wrote:  
> >

sci.math: Re: Symbolic solution of quadratic matrix equations

- > >> *I need to find a symbolic solution of a quadratic matrix equation*
- > >>  $X^2 * A + X * B + A' = 0$
- > >> *where A' is the transpose of (kxk) nonsingular matrix A and B is a*
- > >> *(kxk) symmetric matrix.*
- >
- > > *This is not going to be easy, except in the case*
- > > *where all the matrices commute. You can treat it*
- > > *as a system of k^2 quadratic polynomials in the entries*
- > > *of X, and use try Groebner basis techniques, but I'd guess*
- > > *it's likely to be rather complicated unless k is very small.*
- > > *A 2 x 2 example should work pretty well, but even 3 x 3*
- > > *might be very ugly.*
- >
- > *Of course Robert is correct; this is going to be a mess.*
- > *But it looks like there IS some structure to the answer;*
- > *I don't have an explanation for it but I offer my findings*