

Re: Infantile authours degrading this NG.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2004-12/3564.html>

From: Airy R. Bean (*me_at_privacy.net*)

Date: 12/01/04

Date: Wed, 1 Dec 2004 09:38:29 -0000

It is interesting the size of "the amount of posts".

If I am wrong in what I say, it would be a simple matter to ignore me and what I posit.

That so many people, people who would seek to represent themselves as authorities, respond with emotional postings that do not address the points raised, seems to suggest that I am not wrong, and that they are embarrassed about a basic failing in their professed knowledge and so feel threatened.

"the amount of posts", posts that are non-technical and of an undesirable ad hominem style has reached such a scale that I have binned them all this morning.

This leaves just one point that has not yet been addressed, and that is, that any mathematical analysis of a real system must, to be respectable, deal with measurements of that system.

There is no system of which I am aware that has sampling pulses whose measurements match those of the Diracian Impulse...

1. Their amplitudes do not approach infinity.
2. Their areas do not approach unity.
3. In any case, the operation of $f(t).d(t - T)$ is not defined unless under an integral sign, and cannot be evaluated unless under that integral sign.
4. The evaluation of the spectrum of the Diracian relies on it being over all time, $-\infty^{\infty}$. It is improper, therefore, to attempt to evaluate other operations using the Diracian with a reduced domain, and yet still rely on the spectrum derivation.

sci.math: Re: Infantile authours degrading this NG.

"D.K." <qn_42@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:da3707b7.0411300808.2aa5e685@posting.google.com...

> *From the amount*

> *of posts that it has generated, it stands to reason that you are*

> *unlikely to get a response suitable to you from them.*