

Re: Surrogate factoring, theory versus implementation

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-01/6690.html>

From: José Carlos Santos (*jcsantos_at_fc.up.pt*)

Date: 01/23/05

Date: Sun, 23 Jan 2005 17:51:00 +0000

jstevh@msn.com wrote:

> *If that prototype had worked as well as I'd hoped, I wouldn't be
> posting about it.*

And if pigs had wings, they would fly.

> *I've checked the theory, and it's not wrong.*

As much as your proof of FLT was not wrong?

> *Now the discussions can go on indefinitely as posters who reply to me
> usually just say something negative or mocking.*

They would stop if you would stop posting here, you know?!

> *It's a weird quirk of the human brain. Some people believe that by
> saying something is true, they make it true.*

And you see one of those persons each morning, while shaving.

> *In contrast, note I have a paper, which outlines a mathematical theory.*

You know, when a mathematician states "I have a paper" what he or she means is that he or she has actually **published** a paper.

> *If you are a highly intelligent person with a great deal of competence
> in this area then you will find that I will not react badly to a cogent
> reply.*

Such as all cogent replies to your claimed proof of FLT?

Best regards,

Jose Carlos Santos