

Re: Theory versus implementation, I'm puzzled

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-01/8859.html>

From: Tim Peters (*tim.one_at_comcast.net*)

Date: 01/31/05

Date: Sun, 30 Jan 2005 20:55:07 -0500

[JSH]

- > *Now one of the oddest things to me is that you can discover a*
- > *mathematical theory, explain it in detail, and have people not believe*
- > *you, as I have the full theory for surrogate factoring worked out, but*
- > *just haven't gotten a program implementing it to fully work, yet.*
- >
- > *But I have the full mathematical theory.*
- >
- > *The sad reality is that the mathematics is not enough.*
- >
- > *You people sit back, act like it's just nothing, and I tell you the*
- > *theory is worked out to a solution to the factoring problem.*

You can have all the help you want. It requires two things:

1. Rewrite your paper so it's comprehensible, including a complete description of your algorithm.
2. Stop pissing on people who try to help you.

Else you're on your own.

- > *Then, I see requests to prove it that involve factoring large numbers.*

That you can say this in the same post you later say:

...

- > *But this is the world I'm stuck with ... where people often ...*
- > *make claims they do not back up*

is pretty bizarre. The entire and only point is to factor large numbers. You're the one making the claim here, and you're the one not backing it up.