Re: Playing with infinities

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005−01/8878.html

---

From: KeithK (*me_at_nomail.com*)
Date: 01/31/05

Date: Sun, 30 Jan 2005 20:23:47 −0700

<jstevh@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1107135346.930520.226480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> *I'm going to try and explain one more time, what a simple and elegant*
> *idea surrogate factoring is, and how exactly it relies on traversal of*
> *the entire set of rationals.*
>
> *Given*
>
> *yx^2 + Ax − M^2*
>
> *you trivially have*
>
> *x(yx + A) = M^2*
>
> *and there are an *infinite* number of rational solutions for x and y,*
> *given integers A and M.*
>
> *That doesn't help you much though, so I went on to*
>
> *yz^2 + Az − j^2 = 0*
>
> *where j^2 + T = M^2, and j is just some number you pick in order to*
> *factor M.*
>
> *Now, yz^2 + Az − j^2 = 0, also has an infinite number of rational*
> *solutions for y and z, given integers A and j.*
>
> *So you have two sets of infinity, and I look at their intersection.*
>
> *That intersection is given by the non−trivial set of solutions for y,*
> *such that both requirments are held. That is, such that*
>
> *yx^2 + Ax − M^2 = 0*
>
> *and*
>
> *yz^2 + yz − j^2 = 0*

>
should be:
yz^2 + Az − j^2 = 0 ?

KeithK

> with rational y and x, and integers A, M and j.
>
> It just so happens that the mathematics works out that the non−trivial
> solutions for y are a finite set determined by the factorization of
>
> A^4 T^4.
>
> It is possible to prove−−and rather easy to prove−−that the set is
> complete in that it must give a factorization of M.
>
> It must give you a factorization of M.
>
> That set of rational solutions for y represents the intersection of two
> infinities, and that is what allows it to always factor M.
>
> Proving that is easy. It's so easy that there's just no excuse for
> mathematicians not believing me, and forcing some implementation to
> take place before they'll acknowledge the truth.
>
> If you people force this to go on because you will not accept
> mathematical proof, then you are complete frauds, and must be, as
> supposedly mathematical proof is what matters to you.
>
> It's not a hard theory.
>
> You can look at the details explained out at
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/sufactor/
>
> where I'm also trying to figure out the implementation as I fear that
> only when there's a full demonstration will anyone really listen, as
> you people are not showing you actually care about mathematical proof.
>
> And the reality of what you think of mathematical proof may hurt a
> tremendous number of people worldwide, as this is not research I can
> control.
>
> Many of you have for years maybe played at being mathematicians
> thinking there was no responsibility attached, but if our economic
> society as we know it goes bye bye because you are really frauds,
> telling the world the factoring problem didn't have such a solution and
> then sitting around when the mathematical proof was thrust in your
> faces, then it will be your fault.
>
> Playtime is over.
>

> *Either you are mathematicians or you're not, and if none of you are,*
> *when everything changes, then you'll understand that mathematics is*
> *about the truth, and not about what you wish to believe is true.*
>
> *I fear you are frauds who know next to nothing about mathematics, but*
> *instead play silly games with each other with abstruse works you don't*
> *even really understand, and you just got caught by forces you can't*
> *comprehend, and the consequences are far greater than you can, even*
> *now, imagine.*
>
> *And it's completely fair.*
>
>
> *James Harris*
>