

Re: Theory versus implementation, I'm puzzled

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-02/0018.html>

From: oðin (oðin_at_ragnarok.com)

Date: 01/31/05

Date: Sun, 30 Jan 2005 23:17:56 -0800

> *Hey Harris wake up call! You got it even wronger this time. There are
> already enough factorization algorithms around. It actually is of no
> import that you may have come up with a new one. What matters is wether
> it is dramatically better in speed and ressources than the current best
> ones or not. So this time the mathematical proof of the correctness of
> the algorithm is a mere prerequisite. The obstacle standing between you
> and fame and fortune, is the lack of a working implementation. So far,
> it don't look good fer you mister. And no, whining won't be of help
> either.*

Well, yes, a good implementation demo would help. That has not materialized yet. But it would be good enough if he could even just rigorously prove that his algorithm was sub-exponential, or even polynomial time. He has not done that. In fact, he has never rigorously proved anything about Surrogate Factoring.