

sci.math: Re: Where's respect? was Re: Corrective interpretation of real numbers

## Re: Where's respect? was Re: Corrective interpretation of real numbers

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-02/1950.html>

---

**From:** Robin Chapman (*rjc\_at\_ivorynospamtower.freemove.co.uk*)

**Date:** 02/07/05

Date: Mon, 07 Feb 2005 14:34:38 +0000

examachine@gmail.com wrote:

> *Robin Chapman wrote:*

>> *examachine@gmail.com wrote:*

>> *I'll give you a clue: it begins with W and ends in anker.*

>

> *I heard some of his arguments and I didn't find them impressive, but*

> *you don't have to call him that.*

No, there are plenty of alternative words.

> *There have been philosophers who held chairs at esteemed instituted who*

> *made sillier claims.*

That's what being a philosopher is all about (but few are both as egregiously daft and senseless as Muckenheim).

> *You'd have to swear all the time if you wanted to*

> *talk about phil. of math.*

I might just do that.

--

Robin Chapman, [www.maths.ex.ac.uk/~rjc/rjc.html](http://www.maths.ex.ac.uk/~rjc/rjc.html)

"Elegance is an algorithm"

Iain M. Banks, *\_The Algebraist\_*