

## Re: Lotto – Gaps – probability, HELP

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005–02/4111.html>

---

**From:** djura ([djura-12\\_at\\_gawab.com](mailto:djura-12_at_gawab.com))

**Date:** 02/11/05

Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2005 21:52:12 +0100

On 10 Feb 2005 07:30:15 –0800, [matt271829–news@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:matt271829–news@yahoo.co.uk) wrote:

>djura wrote:

>> On 9 Feb 2005 04:46:49 –0800, [matt271829–news@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:matt271829–news@yahoo.co.uk) wrote:

>> >djura wrote:

>> >> On 8 Feb 2005 11:57:09 –0800, [matt271829–news@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:matt271829–news@yahoo.co.uk) wrote:

>I'd like to be able to help you – if not to solve the problem, at least

>to help you explain it in an intelligible way. But the fact is that I

>simply cannot understand what you are saying.

>

>I am sure that you DO have a sensible question somewhere here, but your

>English is not up to the task of describing it. The best suggestion I

>can make is that you find someone fluent in English (and with some

>familiarity with mathematical terms and notation), explain the problem

>to them, and ask them to write it up for you.

>

>Alternatively, maybe there is a math discussion forum in your own

>language?

Matt271829,.

(18, 27, 29 – good numbers ;)

I've been simulating that kind of Lotto system's expectations and  
guaranties long time now, using 3 ordinary loops in a plain basic code.

The script is coupled with a side sub–program which monitors/processes  
eventual updates from a number of external files (on the online basis).

I'm very rational person, preferring maximum simplicity.

(my first replay on this ng was a little bit more aggressive ;)

as I was pretty sure that with only mentioning the word "Lotto" in the  
subject line will provoke enough, mostly negative reactions ;)

Well, your status in this group does not allow you too much of "out of  
the pattern" activity; some rules must be obeyed, even recreationally ;)

Nothing new in the west ;(

Like many other groups, people (5–10) sheltered in a kind of, almost private–like correspondence, keep proving that  $0.9999=1$ . ;((  
(read, inventing the famous wheel)

Following that theorem, the point isn't the point, but a circle in 2–dimensional system, or even sphere in 3–dim. system ;) Sorry, I've just got a plankt, nothing serious, an apple only ;)

0.9999 will never be equalized with 1.0000,  
as long as we have faces like Einstein, Tesla, Newton....

PS. Wolfram, a new Messiah??!! (Bullsh@@)

---

All personal names are randomly chosen in this joke  
and do not refer to this ng.

---

A crusty old man walks into a bank and  
says to the woman at the window,

"I want to open a damn checking account."

The astonished woman replies,  
"I beg your pardon, sir.  
I must have misunderstood you.  
What did you say?"

"Listen up, damn it.  
I said, I want to open a damn checking account now!"

"I'm very sorry sir, but that kind of language  
is not tolerated in this bank."

The teller leaves the window and goes over to  
the bank manager to inform him of her situation.

The manager agrees that the teller does not have  
to listen to that foul language.  
They both return to the window and  
the manager asks the old geezer,

"Sir, what seems to be the problem here?"

"There is no damn problem," the man says.

"I just won \$200 million bucks in the damn lottery and I  
want to put my damn money in this damn bank."

"I see," says the manager,

"and is this bitch giving you a hard time?"

---

well, Matt, no hard feelings ;)

Oh yes, I've almost forgot ,)

Apart from English, I also speak Italian, French, German  
and 2 other languages...

--

Gramophone free design - no mechanics, pure silicon!