

## Re: I was right, surrogate factoring proof

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-02/4764.html>

---

**From:** oðin (oðin\_at\_ragnarok.com)

**Date:** 02/13/05

Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2005 10:43:50 -0800

>>> *It's a social convention to begin with the theorem being proven.*  
>>  
>> *Yes, it is a very standard convention, which serves a purpose. It is*  
>> *good to know up front where a proof is trying to get to. Would you*  
>> *please be kind and follow that convention here? If you cannot*  
>> *provide a theorem up front, I will make the conclusion that you have*  
>> *no idea what you are trying to prove.*  
>  
> *Oh, it would be ok for him to state what he was proving in the end, if*  
> *that fit his humor. I believe the lecture in which Wiles showed FLT*  
> *true \_concluded\_ with showing that FLT was true.*

yes... adds to the drama in wiles' case... he must have had fun with the surprise punch line...

> *The problem is not that JSH does not state the theorem he intends to*  
> *prove up front. The problem is that he does not state it at all.*

yes. that is really all i want from him .... but in JSH's case, I doubt that hidin it until the end of his proof woul add much exciting effect.