

## Re: Math discovery versus math society

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-02/7212.html>

---

**From:** oðin (oðin\_at\_ragnarok.com)

**Date:** 02/19/05

Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:10:53 -0800

> *Math people just keep claiming it's not important.*

Those "math people" are correct.

> *They do work, if you can pick a rational  $Ax$ .*

Hey, I can factor RSA numbers, if I just had a way of picking the factors!

> *Supposedly mathematicians care about such things.*

Yup, they don't care much.

> *I don't know what you'd do, as you're not freaking me, but I re-wrote*

> *the goddamn paper and sent it to a BIGGER journal.*

>

> *That journal is at Princeton. The editor in charge of the section that*

> *has my paper is Andrew Wiles.*

Oooooops. I hope that Andrew does not look up any of your old posts where you said nasty things about his FLT proof being invalid. After all, he is a mathematician, and according to you social offence would clearly be a big deal to him. If your paper never gets published at the Annals, I bet that would be the reason.... you just pissed him off too much.

> *So yeah, it's great being me in many ways.*

Good... I know that I could not deal with it.

> *I say, screw them. I'll outlast them. And someday I'll dance on their*

> *graves.*

James... you are such an uber-idiot.