

Re: Epistemology 201: The Science of Science

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-03/0153.html>

From: aeo6 (aeo6_at_cornell.edu)

Date: 02/28/05

Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2005 13:44:55 -0500

Allan C Cybulskie said:

>
> "robert j. kolker" <nowhere@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> news:384nb0F5i56hjU4@individual.net...
>>
>>
>> Allan C Cybulskie wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> And what about the preconditions to experience? How can you wait for
>>> something if you have no concept of time?
>>
>> The necessary preconditions to experience.
>>
>> 1. One working body.
>>
>> 2. One working brain in said body.
>>
>> 3. Sense organs connected to said brain.
>>
>>
>> Done.
>
> So you assume that without any previous concepts, we can understand an
> unfiltered sensory stream? That we can derive the idea that things are
> different from a sensory stream when we have no idea what it means for
> something to be different?
>
>
>

Somehow, Allan, I think that sense of differences is inherent to the functioning of the brain and purpose of mind. It kind of goes back to Lester's differences and differences between differences. I guess that's what Bob means by "working".

Bob – you forgot one important element in your equation for experience. You need and ENVIRONMENT to experience, with stimuli to sense. Never forget the world.

sci.math: Re: Epistemology 201: The Science of Science

--
Smiles,
Tony