

Re: A New (And Slightly Stupid) Set Theory

>=> {cat, on, lap}

>

>

> Is there some sequence of binary expansions that will produce the
> powerset?

The sequence of binary expansions is a subset of the powerset of N.

Sequence implies domain N.

Binary expansions implies P(N).

And, since you refuse to accept the notation card(N) for
the number of elements in a set N, what notation did you
wish us to use?

#N?

n(N)?

count(N)?

Please specify. We don't want to confuse you.

>

> Herc

>

--

#191, ewill3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

It's still legal to go .sigless.

.

• ***Follow-Ups:***

◆ ***Re: A New (And Slightly Stupid) Set Theory***

◇ *From: HERC777*

• ***References:***

◆ ***Re: A New (And Slightly Stupid) Set Theory***

◇ *From: HERC777*

• Prev by Date: ***Re: JSH: Brainstorming over, for now***

• Next by Date: ***Re: Where do I begin?***

• Previous by thread: ***Re: A New (And Slightly Stupid) Set Theory***

• Next by thread: ***Re: A New (And Slightly Stupid) Set Theory***

• Index(es):

◆ ***Date***

◆ ***Thread***