

Re: JSH: Brainstorming over, for now

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-04/msg04660.html>

- *From:* "Tim Peters" <tim.one@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 30 Apr 2005 03:52:40 -0400
-

[Juuso Hukkanen]

[...]

>> No Nora, argumentation is war and JSH's today's announcement was an
>> unconditional surrender.

[Nora Baron]

> I wish it were so. I doubt that Harris agrees.

Yup. When the last round of this ended, James was very clear about the outcome:

... I've just had a massive collapse in terms of getting surrogate factoring working, with a recent result that indicates you kind of need to know the factors of M ahead of time to guarantee a factorization ...

That's from his "Big collapse on surrogate factoring" msg of March 10, with id:

1110455935.591505.97960@xx

I couldn't figure out what he was saying this time. It sounded mostly like he convinced himself that the SFT "is a major result" (although he's been insisting on that for weeks anyway), and that he only regrets worrying too much about the possible consequences of his triumph.

I refrained from posting any test results until yesterday, hoping James would yet make a minimal effort to verify his strange beliefs here. Such a wonderful way for this round to end: declaring victory for a method that works even worse than the earlier ones. Maybe it's all just part of an NSA disinformation campaign <0.9 wink>.

.... [unflattering but spot on observations elided] ...

.

Re: JSH: Brainstorming over, for now

- **References:**

- ◆ **JSH: Brainstorming over, for now**

- ◇ From: jstevh

- ◆ **Re: JSH: Brainstorming over, for now**

- ◇ From: Juuso Hukkanen

- ◆ **Re: JSH: Brainstorming over, for now**

- ◇ From: Nora Baron

- Prev by Date: **Re: A New (And Slightly Stupid) Set Theory**

- Next by Date: **Question about integer partitions**

- Previous by thread: **Re: JSH: Brainstorming over, for now**

- Next by thread: **Re: JSH: Brainstorming over, for now**

- Index(es):

- ◆ **Date**

- ◆ **Thread**