Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005−05/msg02110.html

- *From*: Torkel Franzen <torkel@xxxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: 11 May 2005 20:05:20 +0200

"Mark Nudelman" <markn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

> I wonder what he thinks it means for an axiom to be "false". Axioms can be
> inconsistent, but how can they be false?

The same way any other statement can be false. If you take as an
axiom "0=1", you have a false axiom.
.

- ***Follow−Ups***:
  - ***Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem***
    - ◊ *From:* anzaurres1
  - ***Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem***
    - ◊ *From:* Mark Nudelman
  - ***Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem***
    - ◊ *From:* Dave Rusin

- ***References***:
  - ***Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem***
    - ◊ *From:* Stephen J. Herschkorn
  - ***Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem***
    - ◊ *From:* Mark Nudelman

- Prev by Date: ***Re: closed set with measure zero***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Diophantic equation (chess related)***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Help in answering news story on refutation of fermat's last theorem***
- Index(es):
  - ***Date***
  - ***Thread***