

Re: Will NIST fix their tables?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-05/msg04032.html>

- *From:* Robin Chapman <rjc@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 22 May 2005 10:26:21 +0100
-

Garry Denke wrote:

> Robin Chapman wrote:
>> Garry Denke wrote:
>>
>> > Einstein's nine (9) primary fundamental universal base unit values
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>> Off-topic, physics not mathematics.
>>
>> --
>> Robin Chapman, www.maths.ex.ac.uk/~rjc/rjc.html
>> "Elegance is an algorithm"
>> Iain M. Banks, [_The Algebraist_](#)
>
> Tell that to NIST because that's the point Robin.

Still off-topic Mr Dense, NIST aren't spamming this group with physics trash: you are.

--
Robin Chapman, www.maths.ex.ac.uk/~rjc/rjc.html
"Elegance is an algorithm"
Iain M. Banks, [_The Algebraist_](#)
.

- *References:*
 - ◆ [Re: Will NIST fix their tables?](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Robin Chapman
 - Prev by Date: [A Poker Problem \(Heads Up\)](#)
 - Next by Date: [Re: existence of solution to \$x = f\(x\) = g\(x\)\$?](#)
 - Previous by thread: [Re: Will NIST fix their tables?](#)
 - Next by thread: [One optimal question](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [Date](#)

Re: Will NIST fix their tables?

◆ *Thread*