

# Re: Cantor and the binary tree

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-05/msg04934.html>

---

- *From:* Virgil <ITSnetNOTcom#virgil@xxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 26 May 2005 10:40:55 -0600
- 

In article <MPG.1cff9fda6b659940989d2e@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

- >> The number of leaf nodes exactly equals the number of ending or finite
- >> paths in any finite binary tree (in which all paths end).
- > And which you have conveniently dispensed with for the sake of your argument.
- > Typical Cantorian prestadigitation.

That Cantor's hands are quicker than TO's eyes only demonstrates the slowness of TO's eyes.

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* aeo6
- *References:*
  - ◆ **Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* mueckenh
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* Robert Kolker
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* Robin Chapman
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* Ron Sperber
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* aeo6
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* Robert Kolker
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* aeo6
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* Robert Kolker
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* mueckenh
  - ◆ **Re: Cantor and the binary tree**
    - ◇ *From:* Virgil

Re: Cantor and the binary tree

◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*

◇ *From: ae06*

- Prev by Date: *Re:  $L^1, L^2$  convergence*
- Next by Date: *Re: Orlow cardinality question*
- Previous by thread: *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
- Next by thread: *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
- Index(es):
  - ◆ *Date*
  - ◆ *Thread*