

# Re: Cantor and the binary tree

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-05/msg04938.html>

---

- *From:* Virgil <ITSnetNOTcom#virgil@xxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 26 May 2005 10:30:40 -0600
- 

In article <MPG.1cff9fda6b659940989d2e@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

- >> 1) Each number of (0,1) is given by an UNENDING path stretching over
- >> infinitely many nodes (bits).
- > And yet you claim that no node is infinitely far from the root? Wrong.

When you can produce a mathematically valid proof that adding 1 to a finite number will produce an infinite number, you may claim that there are nodes infinitely far from the root node, but until then, it is you who are wrong

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* aeo6
- *References:*
  - ◆ *Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* mueckenh
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* Robert Kolker
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* Robin Chapman
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* Ron Sperber
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* aeo6
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* Robert Kolker
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* aeo6
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* Robert Kolker
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*
    - ◇ *From:* mueckenh
  - ◆ *Re: Cantor and the binary tree*

Re: Cantor and the binary tree

◇ *From:* Virgil

◆ ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***

◇ *From:* ae06

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Constant curvature surface***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Help (polynomial)***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***