

Re: Cantor and the binary tree

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-06/msg00418.html>

- *From:* David Kastrup <dak@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 03 Jun 2005 01:46:55 +0200
-

Virgil <ITSnetNOTcom#virgil@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

> In article <1117743153.673576.235420@xx>,
> mueckenh@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:
>
>> Of course it is so. If you have infinitely many meters, then this
>> makes up an infinite distance.
>
> There are points in this universe arbitrarily far apart, unless it
> should transpire that this universe is finite, but there are no two
> points in this universe that are infinitely far apart.

Please refrain from tying this into physics, an empiric science.
Mathematics is not democratic: reality does not have voting rights on
it.

—
David Kastrup, Kriemhildstr. 15, 44793 Bochum

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Cantor and the binary tree**](#)
◇ *From:* Virgil
 - *References:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Cantor and the binary tree**](#)
◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ [**Re: Cantor and the binary tree**](#)
◇ *From:* Virgil
 - Prev by Date: [**Re: Notes and a question about \$0^{\infty}\$**](#)
 - Next by Date: [**Re: eye movements and visual perception**](#)
 - Previous by thread: [**Re: Cantor and the binary tree**](#)
 - Next by thread: [**Re: Cantor and the binary tree**](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [**Date**](#)
 - ◆ [**Thread**](#)