

Re: differential/algebraic geometry

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-06/msg03745.html>

- *From:* Jannick Asmus <jannick.news@xxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 21 Jun 2005 21:20:34 +0200
-

On 21.06.2005 07:05, Jannick Asmus wrote:

> On 21.06.2005 05:43, hale@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

>

>>Orion wrote:

>>

>>

>>>Is differential/algebraic geometry and multilinear algebra basically
>>>one and the same?

>>

>>

>>I'm currently reading about algebraic geometry, so I am just a
>>beginner in that area.

>>

>>Dieudonne has written a brief article (but very technical) on
>>the history and development of algebraic geometry which is
>>worth reading. I don't have the reference at hand though.
>>It might give some answers to your question.

>>

>>Of course, differential geometry and algebraic geometry are
>>not basically the same since the former deals with smooth
>>functions on \mathbb{R}^n while the latter deals with polynomials
>>on k^n (wher \mathbb{R} is reals and k is a field).

>

>

> I would like to go a step further: Algebraic geometry is a geometrical
> extension of commutative algebra where local objects are associated to
> commutative rings and not only (finitely generated) algebras over a
> field k . The latter is certainly one of the classical examples of the
> beginning point of the algebraic theory.

>

>

>>However, I get the feeling that they (or their underlying ideas)
>>are related or are similar. For example, both have a version of
>>the Riemann–Roch theorem that relates the genus g with algebraic
>>properties (in the algebraic geometry case).

>

>

> But this goes certainly back to the existence of a (co-)homology theory
> on the objects in differential and algebraic geometry, resp. In my

Re: differential/algebraic geometry

- > opinion, this does not necessarily imply to some kind of similarity.
- >
- >
- >>Also, both consider the germs of functions at a point. Both
- >>consider the tangent space at a point.
- >
- >
- > This is a common conceptual approach on rather different objects which
- > appear to be similar in very special cases. Here I am thinking of
- > Serre's GAGA (géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique): an
- > equivalence of certain sub-categories of analytical and algebraic
- > varieties of the field of complex numbers. It basically refers to
- > compact varieties which implies that some cohomological vector spaces
- > are finite dimensional.
- >
- >
- >>One major feature of differential geometry is that the
- >>geometry is considered to be locally Euclidean at a point
- >>and that charts are used to globally patch sections together.
- >>This part I am not sure about: it also appears that algebraic
- >>geometry is considered to be locally affine and that charts are
- >>used to globally patch sections together. If this is true, I
- >>think this would provide reasons to consider them both to
- >>be related or similar.
- >>
- >>— Bill Hale
- >>
- >
- >
- > Basically, the two theories share a common principle which is widely
- > spread in mathematics. If a theory is wanted to be 'geometrized' then
- > you look for standard objects translated to a topological space with
- > some additional structure (e.g., the sheaf of continuous functions, of
- > differentiable functions). Then a geometrical object is a topological
- > space with additional structures which locally look like the standard
- > objects.
- >
- > In differential geometry the standard objects are open sets in some real
- > vector space (not necessarily finite dimensional) and in algebraic
- > geometry affine schemes stemming from a commutative algebra.
- >
- > Because of the way of construction of geometric objects, the machinery
- > of category theory, universal algebra and (co)homology theory apply. So
- > far I agree that the theories appear to be similar. But this argument
- > seems to valid for all objects constructed as briefly described above.
- >
- > But the crucial question is what intrinsic properties of the objects can
- > be revealed. If you get down to answer this, you get assertions
- > distinguishing geometric theories from each other.
- >
- > Similarities occur due to equivalences of categories. Mostly they are

Re: differential/algebraic geometry

- > provided by huge theorem which are not obvious at all.
- >
- > I named Serre with one of his famous theorems (GAGA), so I should forget

Oups !!! I meant that I should *not* forget to name A.G.

- > to name one of the 'fathers' of algebraic geometry: Alexandre Grothendieck.
- >
- >
- > Cheers,
- > J.

.

• **References:**

- ◆ **differential/algebraic geometry**
 - ◇ From: Orion
 - ◆ **Re: differential/algebraic geometry**
 - ◇ From: hale
 - ◆ **Re: differential/algebraic geometry**
 - ◇ From: Jannick Asmus
-
- Prev by Date: **Re: Logic in Schools**
 - Next by Date: **Re: Logic in Schools**
 - Previous by thread: **Re: differential/algebraic geometry**
 - Next by thread: **Re: differential/algebraic geometry**
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**