Re: Cantor and the binary tree

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005−07/msg00356.html

- *From*: Virgil <ITSnetNOTcom#virgil@xxxxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Sun, 03 Jul 2005 18:05:11 −0600

In article <1120406312.906481.220010@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,
mueckenh@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

> G. Frege wrote:
> > On 2 Jul 2005 09:49:23 −0700, mueckenh@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > [...] This holds for any n e N. Therefore N is a segment.
> > >
> > Consider a set of cows, C, i.e. given some c e C, c is a cow.
> > This holds for any c e C. Therefore C is a cow.
> >
> > Nevertheless, it does not have horns.
>
> With your pseudonym you should be able to distinguish between cows and
> "linearly ordered" sets.

But apparently WM cannot distinguish between sets and their members.
.

- ***References***:
  - ♦ ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
    - ◊ *From:* mueckenh
  - ♦ ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
    - ◊ *From:* Virgil
  - ♦ ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
    - ◊ *From:* mueckenh
  - ♦ ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
    - ◊ *From:* G . Frege
  - ♦ ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
    - ◊ *From:* mueckenh

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Inconsistent sets***
- Next by Date: ***property of a function***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Cantor and the binary tree***
- Index(es):

- ***Date***
- ***Thread***