

Re: Relative Cardinality

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-07/msg00598.html>

- *From:* "Randy Poe" <poespam-trap@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 5 Jul 2005 12:08:17 -0700
-

mueck...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

> As this would lead to strange results like $\text{Card}(N) =$
> $\text{Card}(\{\text{Primes}\})$,

Of course, $\text{Card}(N)$ does equal $\text{Card}(\text{Primes})$.

Does WM think there is a natural number n such that the n -th prime does not exist?

– Randy

.

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
◇ *From:* mueckenh

• *References:*

- ◆ ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
◇ *From:* mueckenh
- ◆ ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
◇ *From:* Virgil
- ◆ ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
◇ *From:* mueckenh
- ◆ ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
◇ *From:* Dik T. Winter
- ◆ ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
◇ *From:* mueckenh

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Relative Cardinality***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***

◆ **Thread**