

Re: Relative Cardinality

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-07/msg01954.html>

- *From:* Virgil <ITSnetNOTcom#virgil@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 13 Jul 2005 12:39:01 -0600
-

In article <1121246520.584259.262020@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, mueckenh@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

> Virgil wrote:
>
>>>
>>> Two elements of a set must differ by at least one property.
>>
>> "Properties" are ideas, and non-physical.
>
> How do you fix (or define) these ideas?
>
> Regards, WM

It must be done in the world of the imagination, where they exist. Where else?

.

- *References:*
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Virgil
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Dik T. Winter
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Randy Poe
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Proginoskes
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh

Re: Relative Cardinality

- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 - ◇ From: Virgil
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 - ◇ From: mueckenh
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 - ◇ From: Virgil
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 - ◇ From: mueckenh

- Prev by Date: **Re: Relative Cardinality**
- Next by Date: **Re: Relative Cardinality**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Relative Cardinality**
- Next by thread: **Re: Relative Cardinality**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**