

## Re: set of a set etc.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-07/msg02958.html>

---

- *From:* "Jasper" <vfiddlestix@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* 19 Jul 2005 08:07:15 -0700
- 

Actually I was wondering about the conceptual changes between different levels of "setting".

Some things that might help would be  $\{\{x\}\} - \{x\}$  for example. This must have been discussed in the literature somewhere.

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: set of a set etc.*
  - ◇ *From:* G . Frege
- ◆ *Re: set of a set etc.*
  - ◇ *From:* William Elliot
- ◆ *Re: set of a set etc.*
  - ◇ *From:* Jean-Claude Arbaut

- *References:*

- ◆ *set of a set etc.*
  - ◇ *From:* Jasper
- ◆ *Re: set of a set etc.*
  - ◇ *From:* Jean-Claude Arbaut

- Prev by Date: *Re: stumped on integral*
- Next by Date: *Re: What calculus text should I cite for l'Hopital's Rule?*
- Previous by thread: *Re: set of a set etc.*
- Next by thread: *Re: set of a set etc.*
- Index(es):
  - ◆ *Date*
  - ◆ *Thread*