

Re: Relative Cardinality

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-07/msg03016.html>

- *From:* Virgil <ITSnetNOTcom#virgil@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 19 Jul 2005 12:35:02 -0600
-

In article <1121765985.427135.12210@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, mueckenh@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

>
>
> Virgil wrote:
>
>
>>
>> Which infinity is a number according to Cantor? There are all sorts of
>> infinities, and they need not all be numbers.
>>
> Every kind of actual infinity is a number by Cantor

Then we have progressed well beyond Cantor in the last century, since we are no longer as limited as you claim he was.

.

- *References:*
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Dik T. Winter
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Randy Poe
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Proginoskes
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* Proginoskes
 - ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ *From:* mueckenh

Re: Relative Cardinality

- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: Proginoskes
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: mueckenh
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: Proginoskes
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: mueckenh
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: Proginoskes
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: mueckenh
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: Virgil
- ◆ **Re: Relative Cardinality**
 ◇ From: mueckenh

- Prev by Date: **Re: Relative Cardinality**
- Next by Date: **Re: Square roots – decimal expansions --**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Relative Cardinality**
- Next by thread: **Re: Relative Cardinality**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**