

# Re: infinity

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-08/msg01583.html>

---

- *From:* Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Tue, 9 Aug 2005 11:13:20 -0400
- 

Virgil said:

> In article <MPG.1d618aae41392f57989fe9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,  
> Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>  
>>> Which ball is not covered by that argument?  
>> N+1 through 10n+9.  
>  
> If TO means "n+1 through 10n+9" he is presuming that there is a last,  
> nth, step, which is specifically prohibited by the rules.  
>  
> And as there is no last step, there is no ball that is not covered.  
>  
Then there is no point at which the last ball is removed. Isn't that correct?

--

Smiles,

Tony

.

---

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ ***Re: infinity***  
    ◇ *From:* Virgil
- ◆ ***Re: infinity***  
    ◇ *From:* Jesse F. Hughes
- ◆ ***Re: infinity***  
    ◇ *From:* David Kastrup

• *References:*

- ◆ ***infinity***  
    ◇ *From:* Theo Jacobs
- ◆ ***Re: infinity***  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ ***Re: infinity***  
    ◇ *From:* David C . Ullrich
- ◆ ***Re: infinity***  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ ***Re: infinity***

Re: infinity

◇ *From:* William Hughes

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* snapdragon31

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* Dave Seaman

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* snapdragon31

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* Dave Seaman

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* snapdragon31

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* Dave Seaman

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* snapdragon31

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* Dave Seaman

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* snapdragon31

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* Randy Poe

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* aeo6

◆ **[Re: infinity](#)**

◇ *From:* Virgil

- Prev by Date: **[Re: infinity](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: infinity](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: infinity](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: infinity](#)**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **[Date](#)**
  - ◆ **[Thread](#)**