

# Re: infinity

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-08/msg01621.html>

---

- *From:* Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Tue, 9 Aug 2005 12:22:57 -0400
- 

Jesse F. Hughes said:

> Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:  
>  
>> Virgil said:  
>>> In article <MPG.1d618aae41392f57989fe9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,  
>>> Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> Which ball is not covered by that argument?  
>>>> N+1 through  $10n+9$ .  
>>>  
>>> If TO means "n+1 through  $10n+9$ " he is presuming that there is a last,  
>>> nth, step, which is specifically prohibited by the rules.  
>>>  
>>> And as there is no last step, there is no ball that is not covered.  
>>>  
>> Then there is no point at which the last ball is removed. Isn't that  
>> correct?  
>  
> The last ball? What's the number written on that one? When was it  
> put in?  
>"largest finite. largest finite."  
>  
> It's this clear, incisive analysis that ensures the success of your  
> mathematical revolution, no doubt.  
>  
>  
Snideness noted.

If you claim that the vase at some point becomes empty, and want to challenge those that claim otherwise by asking which ball remains, then they have equal right to ask which is the final ball removed which leaves the vase empty. If you cannot name the last ball removed, then why should anyone have to name which ball remains? This focus on the names of the balls is entirely unnecessary. If you claim the vase is empty at noon, describe the process whereby, after growing constantly, the vase is suddenly empty.

There is no last ball removed. For every ball removed, 10 are added. The vase is never empty, and any conclusions to that effect that you derive from this retarded Cantorian system indicate assumptions within that system which are

wrong.

—

Smiles,

Tony

.

---

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Virgil
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Jesse F. Hughes
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* David Kastrup

• *References:*

- ◆ **infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Theo Jacobs
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* David C . Ullrich
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* William Hughes
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Dave Seaman
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Dave Seaman
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Dave Seaman
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Dave Seaman
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* snapdragon31
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* Randy Poe
- ◆ **Re: infinity**  
    ◇ *From:* aeo6
- ◆ **Re: infinity**

Re: infinity

◇ *From:* Virgil

◆ ***Re: infinity***

◇ *From:* aeo6

◆ ***Re: infinity***

◇ *From:* Jesse F. Hughes

- Prev by Date: ***Re: infinity***
- Next by Date: ***Re: infinity***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: infinity***
- Next by thread: ***Re: infinity***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***