

# Re: infinity

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-08/msg01792.html>

---

- *From:* Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 10 Aug 2005 12:50:05 -0400
- 

Jesse F. Hughes said:

> "Jesse F. Hughes" <jesse@xxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

>

>> Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

>>

>>> Jesse F. Hughes said:

>>>> Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

>>>>

>>>>> Jesse F. Hughes said:

>>>>>> Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Virgil said:

>>>>>>>> In article <MPG.1d618aae41392f57989fe9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,

>>>>>>>> Tony Orlow (aeo6) <aeo6@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Which ball is not covered by that argument?

>>>>>>>>> N+1 through 10n+9.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> If TO means "n+1 through 10n+9" he is presuming that there is a last,

>>>>>>>>> nth, step, which is specifically prohibited by the rules.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> And as there is no last step, there is no ball that is not covered.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Then there is no point at which the last ball is removed. Isn't that

>>>>>>>>> correct?

>