

Re: Han's startling new set theory.

Re: Han's startling new set theory.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-08/msg05119.html>

- *From:* Timothy Little <tim-usenet@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 26 Aug 2005 21:58:08 +0000 (UTC)
-

imaginorium@xxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

> Han de Bruijn wrote:
>> The decimal expansion of $\sqrt{2}$ does not repeat. You can check that
>> by computing.
>
> Really?? I mean, this is finite computing, with your "microscope",
> right? How long, very roughly, does it take to check that $\sqrt{2}$
> doesn't repeat?

That just makes it like most scientific hypotheses: falsifiable, but not verifiable.

- Tim

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Randy Poe
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* imaginorium
- *References:*
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Jesse F. Hughes
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Han . deBruijn
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Jesse F. Hughes
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Han . deBruijn
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Jiri Lebl
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Han . deBruijn
 - ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ *From:* Martin Shobe

Re: Han's startling new set theory.

- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: Han . deBruijn
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: Martin Shobe
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: Han . deBruijn
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: Jiri Lebl
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: david petry
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: Jesse F. Hughes
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: david petry
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: Jesse F. Hughes
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: david petry
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: cbrown
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: Han de Bruijn
- ◆ **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
◇ From: imaginatorium

- Prev by Date: **Re: Bring Math Arguments against this FERMAT LAST THEOREM PROOF**
- Next by Date: **Re: A set containing a nonempty open interval**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
- Next by thread: **Re: Han's startling new set theory.**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**