

# Visualizing a measure zero cover of the rationals

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-08/msg05476.html>

---

- *From:* Jim Burns <[burns.87@xxxxxxxx](mailto:burns.87@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 28 Aug 2005 13:07:10 -0400
- 

My impression is that covering all the rationals with intervals with a total length of  $\epsilon > 0$  is not a very controversial result, probably a very basic one in measure theory. The rationals are countable, so we can list them. Cover the first rational with  $\epsilon/2$ , the second with  $\epsilon/4$ , ...

My problem arose when I tried to visualize this epsilon-sized covering, spread out everywhere and nowhere. Much later, it occurred to me that the scheme I was using wasn't really intended for easy visualization; rather, it was for easy theorem proving.

I've come up with another scheme that I like. It uses the continued fraction expansions of the rationals in  $(0, 1)$ , assigning half the length to the points on the top level  $\{1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \dots\}$  and the other half of the length to the rationals in the open intervals between those points, then recursing down. (I'll be more specific below.)

Some questions I have:

— I can show that, if all these lengths have a sum, that sum is  $\epsilon$ ; how would I show they have a sum? (Remember that these intervals are not distinct.)

— This scheme looks fractal to me (speaking informally).

Is it fractal (speaking more formally)? What would its dimension be? Does this hypothetical dimension  $> 0$  have implications for measure theory?

What I'm talking about:

Let  $\epsilon$  be an arbitrarily small positive number.

Let  $k, m, n$  be positive integers and  $p, q$  be rationals.

Let  $d(p)$  be the length of the interval covering the rational  $p$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

Define

$d(1/n) = \epsilon/2^n$ , for  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$d(1/(n+p)) = 1/2^{n+1} * d(p)$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $0 < p < 1$

If  $\sum_{0 < p < 1} (d(p))$  exists, then

## Visualizing a measure zero cover of the rationals

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ 1/(n+1) < p < 1/n \}}( d(p) ) =$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < q < 1 \}}( d( 1/(n+q) ) ) =$$

$$1/2^{(n+1)} * \text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < q < 1 \}}( d(q) )$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < p < 1 \}}( d(p) ) =$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ n = 2,3,4,\dots \}}( d(1/n) ) +$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ n = 1,2,3,\dots \}}($$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ 1/(n+1) < p < 1/n \}}( d(p) ) ) =$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ n = 2,3,4,\dots \}}( e/2^n ) +$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ n = 1,2,3,\dots \}}( 1/2^{(n+1)} ) *$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < q < 1 \}}( d(q) ) =$$

$$e/2 + 1/2 * \text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < q < 1 \}}( d(q) )$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < p < 1 \}}( d(p) ) = e/2 + 1/2 * \text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < q < 1 \}}( d(q) )$$

$$\text{SUM}_{\{ 0 < p < 1 \}}( d(p) ) = e$$

Then, if the sum over the rationals  $p$  in  $( 0, 1 )$  of the lengths of intervals  $d(p)$  converges, that sum is  $e$ .

Jim Burns

.