

Re: Rational and irrational numbers

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-08/msg05800.html>

- *From:* quasi <quasi@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 29 Aug 2005 20:11:21 -0700
-

On 29 Aug 2005 16:55:44 -0700, deepkdeb@xxxxxxxx wrote:

>You are completely right. Given A, B one gets C and D. But given C, D
>one can not determine A, B in general. In my case taking k-th root was
>not necessary. Hence my claim. I am grateful to you for the time and
>intest you have shown to help me out.

For me, it was a teaching challenge, and also, it was fun finding a counterexample.

Keep up the questions. At the same time, struggle with the ideas yourself, and where possible, go for depth of understanding -- after all, you're deepkdeb.

quasi
.

• *References:*

- ◆ ***Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* deepkdeb
- ◆ ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* deepkdeb
- ◆ ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* Keith A. Lewis
- ◆ ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* quasi
- ◆ ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* deepkdeb
- ◆ ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* quasi
- ◆ ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* quasi
- ◆ ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
◇ *From:* deepkdeb

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Bring Math Arguments against this FERMAT LAST THEOREM PROOF***
- Next by Date: ***Re: INFINITY Revisited***

Re: Rational and irrational numbers

- Previous by thread: ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Rational and irrational numbers***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***