

# Re: infinity

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-09/msg00033.html>

---

- *From:* [stephen@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:stephen@xxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Wed, 31 Aug 2005 20:58:14 +0000 (UTC)
- 

David Kastrup <dak@xxxxxxx> wrote:  
> stephen@xxxxxxxxxx writes:

>> Tony's definition of a finite set includes sets that never end.  
>> He has agreed that the set of all finite natural numbers  
>> does not end, and apparently agrees that the number of finite  
>> natural numbers is not finite. However the set is still "finite"  
>> according to Tony's definition.

> According to Tony's handwaving. I have yet to see any definition of  
> finiteness from him.

I was going to put definition in quotes, but I changed my mind. :)

Stephen

.

---

- *References:*
  - ◆ [\*Re: infinity\*](#)
    - ◇ *From:* David Kastrup
- Prev by Date: [\*Re: infinity\*](#)
- Next by Date: [\*Re: change of variable in multiple integrations...\*](#)
- Previous by thread: [\*Re: infinity\*](#)
- Next by thread: [\*Re: infinity\*](#)
- Index(es):
  - ◆ [\*Date\*](#)
  - ◆ [\*Thread\*](#)