

Re: Pure-cardinal approach *is* possible! (was: Mathematical concepts)

Re: Pure-cardinal approach *is* possible! (was: Mathematical concepts)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-09/msg01225.html>

- *From:* "Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz" <spamtrap@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 06 Sep 2005 09:18:26 -0300
-

In <REM-2005sep01-003@xxxxxxxxxx>, on 09/01/2005 at 08:30 PM, rem642b@xxxxxxxxxx (Robert Maas, see <http://tinyurl.com/uh3t>) said:

>That's fine with me. Your alternative seems to be to push an entire >graduate-level foundations course at a 3-yr-old the very first day >they ever hear of the concept of cardinal numbers,

ROTF,LMAO! You can't rebut his position, so you instead lie about it.

Third grade is too early??? You gotta be kidding!

I doubt it. He doesn't have to run faster than the bear.

>Why do you propose teaching something the child will need to >un-learn later??

Why do you beat your wife? He proposed no such thing.

--

Shmuel (Seymour J.) Metz, SysProg and JOAT <<http://patriot.net/~shmuel>>

Unsolicited bulk E-mail subject to legal action. I reserve the right to publicly post or ridicule any abusive E-mail. Reply to domain Patriot dot net user shmuel+news to contact me. Do not reply to spamtrap@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

.

-
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Pure-cardinal approach *is* possible! (was: Mathematical concepts)***
 - ◇ *From:* Robert Maas, see <http://tinyurl.com/uh3t>
 - Prev by Date: ***Re: Han's startling new set theory.***
 - Next by Date: ***Re: question about wreath product***

Re: Pure–cardinal approach *is* possible! (was: Mathematical concepts)

- Previous by thread: *Re: Pure–cardinal approach *is* possible! (was: Mathematical concepts)*
- Next by thread: *Re: Please help me solve this DE*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*