

Re: what makes it true?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-09/msg01481.html>

- *From:* Torkel Franzen <torkel@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 07 Sep 2005 15:42:19 +0200
-

Esa A E Peuha <esa.peuha@xxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

> Why not?

It is not a consequence of the completeness theorem that PA proves "if GC is true then GC is provable in PA". What is your reasoning here?

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Esa A E Peuha
- *References:*
 - ◆ **what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* lhlhsand
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Timothy Little
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:*
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Timothy Little
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:*
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Timothy Little
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Torkel Franzen
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Timothy Little
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Torkel Franzen
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Esa A E Peuha
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**
 - ◇ *From:* Torkel Franzen
 - ◆ **Re: what makes it true?**

Re: what makes it true?

◇ *From:* Esa A E Peuha

◆ ***Re: what makes it true?***

◇ *From:* Torkel Franzen

◆ ***Re: what makes it true?***

◇ *From:* Esa A E Peuha

- Prev by Date: ***Re: bijection of $R: R \leftrightarrow Rx \dots xR$***
- Next by Date: ***Re: what makes it true?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: what makes it true?***
- Next by thread: ***Re: what makes it true?***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***