

Re: infinity

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-10/msg01699.html>

- *From:* "David R Tribble" <david@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 15 Oct 2005 15:57:32 -0700
-

Tony Orlow wrote:

>> Try "number of elements". Stick to basics. Remember Occam's Razor.
>

David R Tribble said:

>> You agree that the set of naturals N is infinite.
>> What do you call the measure of set N ? What size is it?
>

Tony Orlow wrote:

> Since it is infinite, the size must be put in infinite terms. This set is the
> natural unit infinity, since it is based on the identity function between
> element value and position. Its size is N , which means one element per unit,
> forever.
>

We call it Aleph₀.

>> The set of even integers is infinite.
>> What size is it?
>
> $2N$

Huh. I would have thought it was $N/2 + N/2 = N$.

We call it Aleph₀. Oh well, slight difference there.

>> The real points in $[0,1]$ is an infinite set.
>> What size is it?
>
> The correlation between the continuum and discrete infinities is a difficult
> one which may never be solved. A mapping of $\log N(x)$ maps the naturals to the
> reals in $[0,1]$, though not with constant density. It is however fully dense at
> its least dense point, and can be considered an enumeration of the reals in
> $[0,1]$. Given that function and the inverse function rule, there would appear
> to be N elements in the set, which makes sense since we mapped the N naturals
> each to one real in $[0,1]$. However, because of the increasing density in this

Re: infinity

- > mapping, over the entire range N , it would appear to have N^N elements, so
- > there is a problem here. It is probably unavoidable to have two unit
- > infinities, as I said a long while back, the discrete unit infinity N , and
- > some continuous unit infinity R , which would be the number of reals in $[0,1]$.

>> The set of all reals, R , is infinite.

>> What size is it?

>

- > The unit discrete infinity times the unit continuous infinity. N units of R
- > points apiece.

We call it c .

>> The power set of N contains all the possible subsets of N .

>> What size is it?

>

> 2^N

We call it 2^{\aleph_0} .

>> The power set of R contains all the possible subsets of R .

>> What size is it?

>

> $2^{(NR)}$

We call it 2^c .

>> The rest of the world has names for all these set sizes (which

>> we call "cardinalities"). Do you?

>

> Avoid the nominative fallacy at all costs.

At first glance, it looks like your N is very much like our \aleph_0 , and your R (or NR) is very much like our c . Are you sure you're not coming around to our points of view?

.

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: infinity*

- ◇ *From:* Tony Orlow

- *References:*

- ◆ *Re: infinity*

- ◇ *From:* Jonathan Hoyle

Re: infinity

- ◆ **Re: infinity**
 - ◇ From: Tony Orlow
- ◆ **Re: infinity**
 - ◇ From: Jonathan Hoyle
- ◆ **Re: infinity**
 - ◇ From: Daryl McCullough
- ◆ **Re: infinity**
 - ◇ From: Daryl McCullough
- ◆ **Re: infinity**
 - ◇ From: Tony Orlow
- ◆ **Re: infinity**
 - ◇ From: David R Tribble

- Prev by Date: **Re: infinity**
- Next by Date: **Re: Hello.**
- Previous by thread: **Re: infinity**
- Next by thread: **Re: infinity**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**