

Re: FLT an incurable, unending addiction

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-12/msg01843.html>

- *From:* "Fermat" <mitroister@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 8 Dec 2005 12:12:41 -0800
-

Wiles' proof is a statement about elliptic curves and modular forms, not the integers. He proved the Tanayama–Shimura conjecture, not FLT. Frey conjectured that if TS is true then FLT is true, and Ribet proved it. What information does his proof give you about diophantine analysis? I also fail to see the elementary part in a 120 page proof. I can also speculate that Wiles' proof in front of you is like Dirichlet's proof on primes in arithmetical progression in front of a calculus student. Do not treat this forum as if it is a publishing math journal. It is a place to get feedback not to be belittled by guys that think they know more.

.

• *References:*

- ◆ ***Re: FLT an incurable, unending addiction***
 - ◇ *From:* Dik T. Winter
 - ◆ ***Re: FLT an incurable, unending addiction***
 - ◇ *From:* Roman B. Binder
 - ◆ ***Re: FLT an incurable, unending addiction***
 - ◇ *From:* Dik T. Winter
-
- Prev by Date: ***Re: A proposition about graph theory***
 - Next by Date: ***A question on "finite substes of an infinite set"***.
 - Previous by thread: ***Re: FLT an incurable, unending addiction***
 - Next by thread: ***Re: FLT an incurable, unending addiction***
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***