

Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism

Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2005-12/msg03319.html>

- *From:* casioculture@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 17 Dec 2005 11:04:05 -0800
-

Proginoskes wrote:

> fishfry wrote:

>> In article <1134520860.915762.209620@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,

>> "david petry" <david_lawrence_petry@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

>>

>>> casioculture@xxxxxxxx wrote:

>>>

>>>> I'm becoming convinced that unless it has strict editorial control then

>>>> Wikipedia is destined to perpetual failure. It's one thing devoting a

>>>> little of my time to a public cause, it's another to be wasting it in

>>>> "dispute" with unreasonable idiots who won't admit to their

>>>> demonstrable errors and won't back up their claims with evidence.

>>>>

>>>> You spend a considerable amount of your valuable time researching the

>>>> literature, citing sources, and so on, and what happens after a couple

>>>> of days? The idiots have been at it again and it's a mess.

>>>>

>>>> I'm sure that anyone whose time is worth anything won't want to waste

>>>> it on Wikipedia.

>>>>

>>>> But it's a dilemma, Wikipedia is becoming quite popular, on many topics

>>>> it's the first Google result returned. Do you leave it to the idiots to

>>>> spread their nonsense uncontested?! But again, if you do, they won't

>>>> admit to error, and given their evident idiocies they probably have

>>>> nothing better to do.

>>>>

>>> You bring up some really good points. I guess the Wikipedia eventually

>>> will be seen as a really interesting experiment that was,

>>> unfortunately, doomed to failure without changes in the rules.

>>>

>>> Maybe the solution is to allow numerous different versions of articles

>>> (which are archived by Wikipedia anyway), and then have people

>>> "endorse" their favorite variant of the article. Then when you go to

>>> the encyclopedia, you just pick out the article with the endorsements

>>> you respect. Or something like that.

>>>

>>> The Wikipedia contains way too much valuable information to just

Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism

- >>> dismiss its worth.
- >
- > Stanislaw Lem put it best:
- >
- > What can be done when an important fact is lost in a flood of
- > impostors, and the voice of truth becomes drowned out in an
- > ungodly din? When that voice, though freely resounding, cannot
- > be heard, because the technologies of information have led to
- > a situation in which one can receive best the message of him
- > who shouts the loudest, even when the most falsely?
- >
- > Of course, this quote was from 1968, so he couldn't have been talking
- > about the Internet, right? ... Right?
- >
- >> There's recently been a public Wiki scandal. Clearly it's a good
- >> experiment but subject to abuse.
- >
- > At *AHEM* <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/10439120/?GT1=7516> , for one.
- >
- >> Perhaps in this age of disintermediation, we're finding out that there
- >> is an important role to be played by professional writers, reporters and
- >> editors.
- >
- > No kidding. And maybe air marshalls should carry tranquilizer guns.
- > (Rolling eyes at the obvious.)
- >
- > ---- Christopher Heckman

I'm come to the conviction that Wikipedia is a lost cause for any issue that ticks the biases of a dedicated cadre of unreasonable activists.

Here's an example from today, quoting my complaint on the Wikiquote alerts page:

* How much longer do I have to complain about the strong and manifest Hindu nationalist and anti-Arab bias on the [\[\[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arabic numerals\]\]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arabic_numerals) and its related pages before people believe me and acknowledge that there is a serious problem? Here's yet another example "(cur) (last) 08:20, 16 December 2005 Deeptrivia ('Origins of the symbols – "Al Khwarizmi is NOT Arab, Iranians will be disgusted")"'(cur) (last) 15:53, 16 December 2005 Deeptrivia ('Spread of the numeral system to the West – "Iranians will consider it an insult to call an Aryan arab")"' "When did it become acceptable in epistemological terms to reason, paraphrasing, "[[Einstein]] is NOT German, Germans/Aryans will be disgusted and consider it an insult to call a jew German"'". [\[\[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk:Hindu-Arabic numerals#Deeptrivia.2C Al-Khwarizmi was an Arab\]\]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk:Hindu-Arabic_numerals#Deeptrivia.2C_Al-Khwarizmi_was_an_Arab) How much longer do I have to see verifiable content based on reliable and scientific sources that mentioned the Arab contribution to the numeral system removed because it didn't support their biased, distorting and offensive views?

Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism

Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism

[[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk:History_of_the_Hindu–Arabic_numeral_system#Deeprivia.2C_why_did_you_ren
[[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk:Hindu–Arabic_numerals#I_find_serious_fault_with_this_phrasing]]
[[user:csscll:csscll]] (14:16, 17 December 2005 (UTC))

- **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: mensanator@xxxxxxxxxxxx

- **References:**

- ◆ ***Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: casioculture
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: mensanator@xxxxxxxxxxxx
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: casioculture
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: casioculture
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: Proginoskes
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: casioculture
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: david petry
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: fishfry
- ◆ ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***
 - ◇ From: Proginoskes

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Zuhair's Circles***

- Next by Date: ***Re: inverse squared type curve***

- Previous by thread: ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***

- Next by thread: ***Re: Please help with wikipedia's article on Arabic Numerals that's falling victim to vandalism***

- Index(es):

- ◆ ***Date***

- ◆ ***Thread***