

Re: Q:About primes?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-01/msg00689.html>

- *From:* Phil Carmody <thefatphil_demunged@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 06 Jan 2006 14:06:04 +0200
-

"Joseph Fagan" <noemailplease@xxxxxxxxxxx> writes:
> "Phil Carmody" <thefatphil_demunged@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> <news:87irsxk45d.fsf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
>> Dan <30pack@xxxxxxxxxxx> writes:
>>> This prime below with 749 digits required 17+ hours to
>>> establish its primality.
>>>
>> ...
>>>
>>> Whereas this prime below with 751 digits that is more
>>> than 60 times the size of the above prime
>>
>> What on earth are you gibbering on about?
>>
>> A 751-digit prime is roughly 1.0026 times the size of a 749-digit number.
>>
>> Phil
> Eh?
> $10^{751} / 10^{749} = 10^2$

"You can lead a horse's ass to knowledge, but you can't make him think."
– Bob Silverman

Phil

—
What is it: is man only a blunder of God, or God only a blunder of man?
— Friedrich Nietzsche (1844–1900), *The Twilight of the Gods*

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [Re: Q:About primes?](#)
◇ *From:* Keith A. Lewis
 - *References:*
 - ◆ [Q:About primes?](#)

Re: Q:About primes?

◇ *From:* Dan

◆ ***Re: Q:About primes?***

◇ *From:* Phil Carmody

◆ ***Re: Q:About primes?***

◇ *From:* Joseph Fagan

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Asymptote from a set of data***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Q:About primes?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Q:About primes?***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Q:About primes?***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***