

# Re: math.sci

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-04/msg02171.html>

---

- *From:* Ronald Bruck <[bruck@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bruck@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 11 Apr 2006 10:09:45 -0700
- 

In article <[j9dn32517r5p95emr2cerboc8f1ut16nob@xxxxxxx](mailto:j9dn32517r5p95emr2cerboc8f1ut16nob@xxxxxxx)>, David C. Ullrich <[ullrich@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ullrich@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On 11 Apr 2006 04:58:20 -0700, [v4vijayakumar@xxxxxxxx](mailto:v4vijayakumar@xxxxxxxx) wrote:

shouldn't it be math.sci?

No.

(Can't explain why not until you say why it should be math.sci; I can imagine a few reasons someone might think that.)

I think the explanation is simple enough. Usenet is divided hierarchically, with the most generic group first, the refinements following. sci. is the hierarchy most concerned with science, technology, mathematics, etc.

Only if you're not aware of ANY other newsgroups could you be puzzled as to the convention. And I dunno about the OP's newsreader, but mine is constantly reminding me of new newsgroups.

I thought it was a troll.

—

Ron Bruck

.