

Re: Calculus XOR Probability

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-04/msg03252.html>

- *From:* Matt Gutting <tchrmatt@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 17 Apr 2006 14:33:07 -0400
-

Tony Orlow wrote:

imaginatorium@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx said:

Tony Orlow wrote:

No, I talk more about completed actual infinities, and Han speaks more about unboundedly large but more or less finite sets with constant relationships, but there's not a lot of difference there, really. Brian likes to say I am talking about the "imponderably large but finite", because I am dealing with these infinite values similarly to finite values. So, what Han and I agree on is that considering infinities is a lot like considering the infinite case for finite sets, ...

OK, I'll bite. What does it mean to "consider the infinite case for finite sets"? (I'm not entirely sure I can even parse it correctly.)

Brian Chandler
<http://imaginatorium.org>

Basically, all I'm saying boils down to inductive proof of equality holding for infinite n . If some relationship between measures of a set holds for all finite cases greater than some n , then it can be considered to hold for infinite n ,

How do you know that there are any infinite n in the first place?

Matt

*** Posted via a free Usenet account from <http://www.teranews.com> ***

Re: Calculus XOR Probability