

Re: JSH: Way too interesting

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-07/msg02753.html>

- *From:* mike4ty4@xxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 13 Jul 2006 18:42:58 -0700
-

jstevh@xxxxxxxx wrote:

mike4ty4@xxxxxxxx wrote:

jstevh@xxxxxxxx wrote:

So I have this neat result using congruences which is so easy and trivial that I can just put it out there and watch what happens!

And on this group, surprising even me, there is still the usual reaction.

I can check with other groups and see what happens, shifting how I present the mathematics.

Far more interesting than I thought possible.

It's like a study of the world with the most powerful intellectual microscope ever built—a simple solution to the factoring problem versus a social view that I'm just some crackpot.

James Harris

If you really do have a simple solution, then produce the prime factorizations of all the numbers here:

<http://www.rsasecurity.com/rsalabs/node.asp?id=2093>

Why? Why should I bother?

Re: JSH: Way too interesting

To show that your algorithm really does work.

Think carefully. I have a theory at this point. It looks good to me, like interesting mathematics. I like it.

What good would come of me implementing it—assuming it works well?

Because if you really have a working algorithm, you should demonstrate it. It's called "burden of proof". If you claim to have X then you should demonstrate it otherwise the claim is not supported. If you can show what the factors are, then you have proven your claim. So, list the factors of the six numbers that are shown as "not factored". If your theory really indeed works, then just do this. Demonstrating it would show everyone here that you indeed have found something revolutionary, and you would have made a great contribution to mathematics, no longer be considered an "idiot", etc.

Why should I bother?

See above.

Can you factor these numbers? If you just sat down and spent a little bit of time implementing the algorithm, could you do it?