

Re: Objectivity

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-08/msg01030.html>

- *From:* "John Thingstad" <john.thingstad@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 14 Aug 2006 16:32:33 +0200
-

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 18:08:23 +0200, <jstevh@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Tell me one thing. If you have such an interest in math, why haven't you gotten a formal education in it. A genius *rolls eyes* like you should have no problem getting at least a bachelor's in math. I am almost done with mine, got my associate's degree in math last year.

Dave

I have a B.Sc. in physics. A physics degree trumps a math degree, as you need a lot of math anyway, and you also need more...

Why don't you get a degree in physics, if you can, and then come back and talk to me?

James Harris

I started out studying physics. I have a undergraduate study. Then I realized I really hated 'the miracle' approach. After two years of hearing of 'geniuses' and here is what you should compute on I was fed up. I went over to math. Once I had a bachelor in math I went back to physics for my masters at the institute for theoretical astrophysics in Quantum field theory and general relativity. Now with the mathematical maturity to truly understand them. I realizes that half ass pseudo dynamic descriptions were all wrong. This was a purly pragmatic approach.

1. You see symmetries. You categorize them (groups like SU3).
2. You create a representation.
3. You get a field (Noethlers theorem)

Now I feel I have the background to truly get into the mind if Dirac and see what he saw.

Re: Objectivity

I never felt that in physics..

--

Using Opera's revolutionary e-mail client: <http://www.opera.com/mail/>

.