

Re: JSH: Measuring post impact

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-08/msg01856.html>

- *From:* jstevh@xxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 18 Aug 2006 22:10:47 -0700
-

Richard Henry wrote:

jstevh@xxxxxxx wrote:

<snipped speculation>

Now James has added Google to the list of things he doesn't understand.

Yeah, you're ignored as well. Only some of the regular posters ever would pop up in the Google searches, which along with other information, transformed how I post.

The posters who have had an impact as shown by Google, are Magidin, before Ullrich—but now only the race stuff shows up, Dik Winter, Tim Peters, and a few others.

Mostly though it'll be people I don't even notice at the time. And I have pondered why their posts get a lot of attention, and began to understand better how the real world works.

So there, if you want some idea of what direction I may move in with postings, do creative Google searches to see what the world is paying attention to, and whom.

I, of course, prefer to mostly use people who don't come up highly, where Peters is an exception, mainly because often he actually delivers useful information.

Oh yeah, Rick Decker would show up as well, but I don't need him if I have Peters, who is actually a good bit better than Decker ever was at giving useful info.

Otherwise, if you pop up in Google as an effective person against me, then I will avoid you to minimize your impact, as ultimately I am the draw.

And I can focus on the losers in the Google draw without changing anything at all, as people may notice I've focused on one particular

Re: JSH: Measuring post impact

poster who I think is particularly obnoxious, to show how it won't matter. That poster will still be ignored because that poster's posts have no drawing power.

They're just not interesting enough.

James Harris

.