

Re: The Forebears Paradox

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.math/2006-09/msg00706.html>

- *From:* "Jesse F. Hughes" <jesse@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 04 Sep 2006 09:49:47 -0400
-

Jeremy Boden <jeremy@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

On Mon, 2006-09-04 at 11:20 +1000, Peter Webb wrote:

"Michael" <michael.greaney@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1157284535.177582.121840@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

...

If your wife is Caucasian (as I assume you are), you can pretty much bet on having common ancestors as a certainty. Most Europeans are related to European royalty (which in turn is inter-related), basically because many of the European Kings had lots of children.

...

I see.

Whilst the plebs all had only 2.4 children, the Kings had a lot of children?

How did they do this? It is my understanding that kings are usually male, whilst it actually requires the presence of a female for child production.

Right. But a king can have 30 kids while thirty peasant women have only one kid each. Relatively speaking, that king had lots of kids.

--

Jesse F. Hughes

"Well, I don't claim to be an expert, in fact I am a fry cook with a national burger chain, but I have solved many differential and partial differential equations numerically." --C. Bond

.